arXiv:1210.1522v2 [math.AG] 6 Oct 2012

Models of torsors and the fundamental group scheme

Marco Antei*

Abstract. Let R be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K. Let X be a faithfully flat R-scheme of finite type and G be any finite K-group scheme. We prove that every G-torsor Y over the generic fibre X_η of X can be extended to a torsor over X' under the action of a quasi-finite and flat R-group scheme G' where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing ups. Moreover if G is smooth (resp. admits a finite model) we find X' such that G' is smooth (resp. finite). We also show that among all the torsors over X extending $Y \to X_\eta$ there is a maximal one which dominates all the others. We construct the quasi-finite fundamental group scheme $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x)$ of X at $x \in X(R)$ which classifies all quasi-finite torsors over X.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 14L30, 14L15. Secondary: 11G99.

Key words: torsors, quasi-finite group schemes, fundamental group scheme.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Aim and scope 2
1.2 Notations and conventions 4

2 Models of torsors **5**
2.1 Galois torsors and maximal models 5
2.2 Existence of a model 11
2.3 Existence of a model: the case of a regular scheme 19

3 The quasi-finite fundamental group scheme **20**

A Appendix **22**
A.1 Néron blowing ups of torsors 22
A.2 Construction of a model: some examples 24

---

[*] The author was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship funded by the Skirball Foundation via the Center for Advanced Studies in Mathematics at Ben-Gurion University of the Negev. The author was also supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 23/09).

1 Introduction

1.1 Aim and scope

Let S be a Dedekind scheme of dimension one and $\eta = Spec(K)$ its generic point; let X be a scheme, $f : X \to S$ a faithfully flat morphism of finite type and $f_\eta : X_\eta \to \eta$ its generic fiber. Assume we are given a finite K-group scheme G and a G-torsor $Y \to X_\eta$. So far the problem of extending the G-torsor $Y \to X_\eta$ has consisted in finding a finite and flat S-group scheme G' whose generic fibre is isomorphic to G and a G'-torsor $T \to X$ whose generic fibre is isomorphic to $Y \to X_\eta$ as a G-torsor. Some solutions to this problem, from Grothendieck's first ideas until nowadays, are known in some particular relevant cases that we briefly recall: Grothendieck proves that, possibly after extending scalars, the problem has a solution when S is the spectrum of a complete discrete valuation ring with algebraically closed residue field of positive characteristic p, with X proper and smooth over S with geometrically connected fibres and $(|G|, p) = 1$ ([12], Exposé X); when S is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring of residue characteristic p, X is a proper and smooth curve over S then Raynaud suggests a solution, possibly after extending scalars, for $|G| = p$ ([21], §3); a similar problem has been studied by Saïdi in [23], §2.4 for formal curves of finite type and $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_K$; when S is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring R of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ Tossici provides a solution, possibly after extending scalars, for G commutative when X is a regular scheme, faithfully flat over S, with further assumptions on X and Y ([25], Corollary 4.2.8). Finally in [4], §3.2 and §3.3 we provide a solution for G commutative, when S is a connected Dedekind scheme and $f : X \to S$ is a smooth morphism satisfying additional assumptions (in this last case we do not need to extend scalars) and in [3] we deal with the case G solvable. However a general solution does not exist and it can even happen that G does not admit a finite and flat model ([18], Appendix B, Proposition B.2 for the positive equal characteristic case or [22], §3.4 for the mixed characteristic case). What is always true is that G admits at least an affine, quasi-finite (then of finite type, according to our conventions, see §1.2), flat R-group scheme model. Indeed G is isomorphic to a closed subgroup scheme of some $GL_{n,K}$ ([26], §3.4) then it is sufficient to consider its schematic closure in $GL_{n,S}$.

This is one of the reasons why in this paper we have slightly changed the point of view: while searching a G'-torsor $Y' \to X$, model of $Y \to X_\eta$ we only ask G' to be affine, quasi-finite and flat. The main result of the paper, which is postponed to §2.2, is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *(cf. Theorem 2.26) Let S be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring and let X be a faithfully flat scheme of finite type over S endowed with a section $x \in X(S)$. Assume that the special fibre X_s is integral and that the G-torsor $f : Y \to X_\eta$ is pointed over x_η (the restriction of x to the generic fibre of X). Then there exist an affine quasi-finite and flat S-group scheme G', model of G and a pointed G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X'$ extending the given G-torsor*

Y, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing ups.

A shorter proof for this result will be given in Theorem 2.28 for S any Dedekind scheme for not necessarily pointed torsors but only in the particular case where X is regular and integral . As it will be recalled in §A.1 the natural morphism $X' \to X$ is a model map, i.e. generically an isomorphism. This slight modification of X also allows us to find a smooth model for the given torsor when G is smooth up to a finite extension of scalars. This is certainly not possible, and well known, if we do not modify X and this is why the celebrated Grothendieck's specialization morphism (cf. [12], X) fails to be injective in general. Furthermore it is also possible to find a finite model for the given torsor (so not just quasi-finite) whenever G admits a finite model. All this is a consequence of the following

Corollary 1.2. *(cf. Corollary 2.27) Let notations be as in Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be an abstract constant group, $G = \Gamma_K$ and $G' = \Gamma_S$ the constant group schemes over K and S respectively associated to it. Let $f : Y \to X_\eta$ be a G-torsor pointed over x_η. Then there exists a pointed G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X'$ extending the given G-torsor Y, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing ups. The same claim is true if G is any finite K-group scheme and we assume that it admits a finite and flat model G'.*

If in order to extend one single finite torsor we only need to slightly modify X (and we do not need to extend scalars), to extend *all* the finite torsors to torsors over a given scheme X can be harder in general. We believe however that the following claim also holds:

Conjecture 1.3. *Let S be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with function field K and let X_K be a variety over K. Then there exists a faithfully flat scheme of finite type X over S generically isomorphic to X_K such that for any finite K group-scheme G and any G-torsor $f : Y \to X_K$ pointed in $y \in Y(K)$ there exist a quasi-finite and flat S-group scheme G', model of G and a pointed G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X$ extending the given G-torsor Y.*

If we require, as we do, that scalars should not be extended then a rather complete answer to this question for commutative torsors has been given in [4] where at least smoothness and projectiveness are required for the scheme X. Of course a similar conjecture can be thought for, as a base scheme, any Dedekind scheme.

Let us now consider an ideal situation where a given G-torsor $Y \to X_\eta$ admits at least one model (and we have just seen that it is always possible after slightly modifying X); among all the torsors that extend it we can find a *maximal* one in the sense explained by the following theorem (here by *qf* we mean pro-quasi-finite with finite fibres, see also §1.2) :

Theorem 1.4. *(cf. Theorem 2.14 and Proposition 2.17) Assume that the G-torsor $Y \to X_\eta$ admits at least one model over X. Then it admits a unique (up to canonical isomoprhism) model $Y' \to X$ which is a torsor under the action of* *a affine qf and flat group scheme G' satisfying the following universal property: let M be a flat qf S-group scheme and $Z \to X$ an M-torsor. Assume there exists a morphim of torsors $u : Y_\eta \to Z_\eta$ over X_η, then there is a unique morphism of torsors $v : Y \to Z$ over X extending u.*

This maximal model is always of finite type when $char(K) = 0$ but even in this case it needs not be a finite torsor in general (see for instance Examples 2.20 and 2.21). This is the reason why in section 3 we construct a new fundamental group scheme, denoted $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x)$, that classifies all quasi-finite torsors over X pointed over a fixed point $x \in X(S)$. By construction $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x)$ has *bigger* fibres than the usual fundamental group scheme (over a Dedekind scheme) defined in [8]. So the main motivation, in this paper, for the construction of this new object, is that Conjecture 1.3 would imply that the natural morphism $v : \pi(X_\eta, x_\eta) \to \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x)_\eta$ between the fundamental group scheme of the generic fibre of X and the generic fibre of the quasi-finite fundamental group scheme is an isomorphism. This will be recalled in Conjecture 3.6.

Acknowledgements TBA ....

1.2 Notations and conventions

Let S be any scheme, X a S-scheme, G a (faithfully) flat S-group scheme and Y a S-scheme endowed with a right action $\sigma : Y \times G \to Y$. A S-morphism $p : Y \to X$ is said to be a G-torsor if it is affine, faithfully flat, G-invariant and the canonical morphism $(\sigma, pr_Y) : Y \times G \to Y \times_X Y$ is an isomorphism. Let H be a flat S-group scheme and $q : Z \to X$ a H-torsor; a morphism between two such torsors is a pair $(\beta, \alpha) : (Z, H) \to (Y, G)$ where $\alpha : H \to G$ is a S-morphism of group schemes, and $\beta : Z \to Y$ is a X-morphism of schemes such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \times H \arrow[r, "\beta\times\alpha"] \arrow[d, "H\text{-}action"'] & Y \times G \arrow[d, "G\text{-}action"] \\
Z \arrow[r, "\beta"'] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

(thus Y is isomorphic to the contracted product $Z \times^H G$ through α, cf. [7], III, §4, 3.2). In this case we say that Z precedes Y. Assume moreover that α is a closed immersion. Then t is a closed immersion too and we say that Z is a subtorsor of Y (or that Z is contained in Y, or that Y contains Z).

Let $s \in S$ be any point. For any S-scheme T we will denote by T_s the fiber $T \times_S Spec(k(s))$ of T over s. In a similar way for any S-morphism of schemes $v : T \to T'$ we will denote by $v_s : T_s \to T'_s$ the reduction of v over $Spec(k(s))$. When S is irreducible η will denote its generic point and K its function field $k(\eta)$. Any S-scheme whose generic fibre is isomorphic to T_η will be called a model of T_η. Furthermore when v_η is an isomorphism we will often say that v is a model map.

Throghout the whole paper a morphism of schemes $f : Y \to X$ will be said to be quasi-finite if it is of finite type and for every point $x \in X$ the fiber $Y_x := Y \times_X Spec(k(x))$ is a finite set. Let S be any scheme and G an affine S-group scheme. Then we say that G is a finite (resp. quasi-finite/ algebraic) S-group scheme if the structural morphism $G \to S$ is finite, (resp. quasi-finite/ of finite type). It is now a standard convention to call G pro-finite (resp. pro-algebraic/ pro-quasi-finite) if G is isomorphic to the projective limit $\varprojlim_j G_j$ of finite (resp. algebraic/ pro-quasi-finite) S-group schemes. Finally we say that G is *qf* if it is isomorphic to the projective limit $\varprojlim_j G_j$ of quasi-finite S-group schemes G_j which are all generically isomorphic (observe that not every qf S-group scheme is quasi-finite, cf. Remark A.5). A G-torsor $f : Y \to X$ is said to be finite (resp. quasi-finite/ algebraic) if G is a flat S-group scheme which is moreover finite (resp. quasi-finite/ algebraic). Finally a G-torsor $f : Y \to X$ is said to be pro-finite (resp. pro-algebraic/ pro-quasi-finite/ qf) if it is isomorphic to the projective limit of finite (resp. algebraic/ quasi-finite/ quasi-finite and generically isomorphic) G_j-torsors $f_j : Y_j \to X$. Of course when S is the spectrum of a field a S-group scheme is quasi-finite if and only if it is qf if and only if it is finite.

2 Models of torsors

2.1 Galois torsors and maximal models

In this section we introduce some special models of torsors proving the first properties that follow more or less from their definitions.

Notation 2.1. Unless stated otherwise, throughout section 2.1 S will be a Dedekind scheme of dimension one (in Definition 2.2 dimension 0 is also allowed), X a faithfully flat S-scheme of finite type, G a flat qf S-group scheme and $p : Y \to X$ a G-torsor. By K we will always denote the function field of S. Hypothesis will slightly change in Notation 2.12.

Definition 2.2. Let S be a Dedekind scheme of dimension 0 or 1. A qf G-torsor $p : Y \to X$ is said to be Galois if for every qf H-torsor $q : Z \to X$ and every morphism $(\beta, \alpha) : (Z, H) \to (Y, G)$ the morphism α (then also β) is faithfully flat.

Definition 2.3. Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.1. We say that $p : Y \to X$ is a maximal model for the G_η-torsor $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ if for every qf H-torsor $q : Z \to X$, model of $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$, every morphism $(\beta, \alpha) : (Z, H) \to (Y, G)$ is an isomorphism.

When F is a functor from the category of schemes over S to the category of sets we denote, as usual, by F_{fpqc} the sheaf in the fpqc-topology associated to F. Here we state a theorem, due to Raynaud, which will be used in Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7:

Theorem 2.4. *Let T be any locally noetherian scheme, Z a quasi-finite T-scheme, G a flat T-group scheme acting on Z such that the natural morphism $Z \times_T G \to Z \times_T Z$ is a closed immersion. Then the sheaf $(Z/G)_{fpqc}$ is representable and the representing scheme coincides with the ringed space Z/G. Furthermore the canonical morphism $p : Z \to Z/G$ is faithfully flat.*

Proof. This result has been stated in [20], §5, Théorème 1 (v) and then proved in [1], Appendice I, Théorème 7. The last assertion is just [20], §4, Proposition 2. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let k be any field. Let T be an integral scheme of finite type over Spec(k), G a finite k-group scheme, Z a k-scheme provided with a right G-action $\sigma : Z \times G \to Z$ and $g : Z \to T$ a G-invariant finite morphism (i.e. $g \circ \sigma = g \circ pr_Z$) such that the natural morphism $Z \times G \to Z \times_T Z$ is an isomorphism. Then $g : Z \to T$ is a G-torsor if $dim(Z) = dim(T)$.*

Proof. By assumption Z is a G-torsor over Z/G (apply Theorem 2.4 to $Z \to T$ endowed with the G_T-action) so that g factors through Z/G, thus we only need to prove that the natural morphism $i : Z/G \to T$ is an isomorphism. That it is a monomorphism (i.e. $\Delta : Z/G \to Z/G \times_T Z/G$ is an isomorphism) has been proved several times in a slightly different context (see for instance [19], Ch II, Lemma 1 or [5], Theorem 2.9 (2)). Now, $Z/G \to T$ is separated, $Z \to T$ is proper and $Z \to Z/G$ is surjective then $Z/G \to T$ is proper ([15], Ch. 3, Proposition 3.16, (f)) so in particular a closed immersion ([10], Corollaire 18.12.6), but T is integral and $dim(Z/G) = dim(T)$ hence $Z/G \simeq T$. □

The following lemma, whose easy proof is left to the reader, will be used in Lemma 2.7:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $g : U \to V$ and $h : V \to W$ be any two morphisms of schemes. If $h \circ g$ is flat and g is faithfully flat then h is flat.*

We now state a useful lemma that will be used several times in this paper. The proof already appears in [8], Lemma 2.2 and [2], Lemma 2.8; however in both proofs many important details are missing. Moreover the assumptions are slightly different and the quasi-finite case needs more care, so we are going to sketch the proof giving full details only where required:

Lemma 2.7. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.1. Let H be a finite K-group scheme and assume that $P \to X_\eta$ is a H-torsor contained in $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. Let $\overline{P}$ and $\overline{H}$ be respectively the schematic closure of P in Y and H in G, then $\overline{P} \to X$ is a $\overline{H}$-torsor contained in $Y \to X$ in the following cases:*

1. *G is finite;*
2. *G is qf and X_s is integral for every closed point $s \in S$.*

Proof. The scheme theoretic closure of closed subschemes of the generic fibre is functorial and commutes with fiber products (cf. [10], (2.8.3) and Corollaire 2.8.6) so in particular we get an action $\overline{P} \times \overline{H} \to \overline{P}$. That the canonical morphism $\overline{P} \times \overline{H} \to \overline{P} \times_X \overline{P}$ is an isomorphism can be seen directly on the corresponding functors of points. Then apply Theorem 2.4 to $\overline{P} \to X$ endowed with the $\overline{H}_X$-action to observe that $\overline{P} \to \overline{P}/\overline{H}$ is a $\overline{H}$-torsor. Again $i : \overline{P}/\overline{H} \to X$ is a monomorphism. If G is finite we argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 to conclude that i is a closed immersion, but since $\overline{P}/\overline{H} \to S$ is flat (cf. Lemma 2.6) then i is an isomorphism (cf. [10], Proposition 2.8.5) and point 1 is proved. If G is quasi-finite then i is a priori not a closed immersion. But according to Lemma 2.5 $i_s : (\overline{P}/\overline{H})_s \to X_s$ is an isomorphism, since $\overline{P}_s \to X_s$ is finite and X_s is integral, then in particular faithfully flat which implies that i is itself faithfully flat (by the *critère de platitude par fibres*, [11], Théorème 11.3.10), thus an isomorphism as every faithfully flat monomorphism is ([7], I, §2, n° 2, Corollaire 2.9). It remains to prove the assertion for G a qf S-group scheme. So by assumption $Y = \varprojlim_j Y_j$ and $G = \varprojlim_j G_j$ where each $Y_j \to X$ is a quasi-finite G_j-torsor. We construct $(\overline{P})_j$, the schematic closure of P in Y_j and $(\overline{H})_j$, the schematic closure of H in G_j then, by previous discussion, $(\overline{P})_j \to X$ is a $(\overline{H})_j$-torsor. Hence $\overline{P} \to X$ turns out to be the projective limit of the $(\overline{P})_j \to X$ and thus it is itself a torsor under the action of $\overline{H}$. □

As a first consequence of Lemma 2.7 we state a Corollary which will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.26:

Corollary 2.8. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.1 where G is finite or G is qf and X_s is integral for every closed point $s \in S$. Let $Z \to X$ be another G-torsor, model of $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. Then Y and Z are isomorphic as G-torsor.*

Proof. Let us consider the $G \times G$-torsor $Y \times Z$ over X. The G_η-torsor $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ is thus contained in its generic fibre, i.e. the $G_\eta \times G_\eta$-torsor $Y_\eta \times Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. So by Lemma 2.7 we construct the schematic closure of $(\overline{Y_\eta}, \overline{G_\eta})$ in $(Y \times Z, G \times G)$ and we denote by (i_Y, i_G) the inclusion $(\overline{Y_\eta}, \overline{G_\eta}) \hookrightarrow (Y \times Z, G \times G)$. If we denote by p_1 and p_2 the first and the second projections $G \times G \to G$ then we observe that $(p_j \circ i_G)_\eta = id_{G_\eta}$ for $j = 1, 2$ and this implies that $p_1 \circ i_G = p_2 \circ i_G$ (see for example [24] Lemma 1.1). So Y and Z are isomorphic, being both the contracted product $\overline{Y_\eta} \times^{\overline{G_\eta}} G$ through the same morphism $p_1 \circ i_G = p_2 \circ i_G$. □

In Corollary 2.9 we state another consequence of Lemma 2.7.

Corollary 2.9. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.1 where G is finite or G is qf and X_s is integral for every closed point $s \in S$. Assume the existence of a point $x \in X(S)$ and a point $p \in Y_{\eta, x_\eta}(K)$, then there exists a point $y \in Y_x(S)$ which extends p.*

Proof. However the latter is true and to see this we consider the G-torsor $Y_x \to x$ and its generic fibre $Y_{\eta, x_\eta} \to x_\eta$. This is a trivial G_η-torsor since by assumption

$p \in Y_{\eta,x_\eta}(K)$. That means that it contains the $\{1\}_K$-torsor $x_\eta \to x_\eta$. By Lemma 2.7 the $\{1\}_S$-torsor $x \to x$ is contained in the G-torsor $Y_x \to x$ which is thus trivial. The image on Y of its global section is the desired $y \in Y_x(S)$ which extends p. We deduce that it coincides with the schematic closure of p in Y (whic a priori was not isomorphic to S). □

Let us now assume the existence of a model $Y \to X$ of $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$; in Theorem 2.14 we prove that $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ admits a maximal model and in Proposition 2.17 we show that it satisfies a universal property, similar (but in some sens *dual*) to the Néron mapping property, which will ensure the unicity of the maximal model.

Lemma 2.10. *Let M be a qf S-group scheme and N a closed and normal subgroup scheme of M flat over S. Then $(M/N)_{fpqc}$ is represented by a scheme M/N.*

Proof. By assumption $M = \varprojlim_j M_j$ with the M_j quasi-finite over S and all generically isomorphic. Let us denote by N_j the schematic closure $\overline{N_\eta}^{M_j}$ of N_η in M_j. Then according to [1], Théorème 4.C each sheaf $Q_j := (M_j/N_j)_{fpqc}$ is representable. Moreover the Q_j form an inverse system of S-group schemes and we denote by Q the projective limit $\varprojlim_j Q_j$ and by $\rho : M \to Q$ the canonical morphism which is faithfully flat by construction and whose kernel is N. Thus Q represents $(M/N)_{fpqc}$. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\rho : M \to H$ be a group-scheme morphism between two qf and flat S-group schemes. Then there exist a flat qf S-group scheme Q, a faithfully flat morphism $q : M \to Q$ and a morphism $h : Q \to H$ which is generically a closed immersion such that $\rho = h \circ q$. Moreover h is a model map if and only if $h_\eta : M_\eta \to H_\eta$ is faithfully flat.*

Proof. Let N denote the kernel $ker(\rho)$ and let us denote by Q the quotient M/N constructed in Lemma 2.10. Then the existence of h is ensured by [7], III, §3, Proposition 3.1. That h_η is a closed immersion follows from the fact that the quotient commutes with base change. The last assertion is clear. □

Notation 2.12. From now till the end of section 2.1 (with the exception of Lemma 2.19) S, X, G and $Y \to X$ will be as in Notation 2.1 with the additional assumption that for every closed point $s \in S$ the fiber X_s is integral.

Corollary 2.13. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.12. Assume $Y \to X$ is a maximal model of its generic fibre, then $Y \to X$ is Galois if and only if $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ is.*

Proof. If $Y \to X$ is Galois then $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ is Galois too by Lemma 2.7. Now assume that $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ is Galois. If $Y \to X$ is not Galois then there exist a flat qf group scheme M and an M-torsor $Z \to X$ that precedes $Y \to X$ such that $M \to G$ is not faithfully flat. Then Lemma 2.11 is enough to conclude. □

Theorem 2.14. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.12. Then $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ admits a maximal model over X.*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the (non empty by assumption) category of all the isomorphism classes of qf torsors over X which are models of $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. By an abuse of notation we still denote by (Y_i, G_i) the objects of $\mathcal{M}(X)$. First of all we need to prove that given two objects (Y_1, G_1) and (Y_2, G_2) of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ then there exists a third one preceding both. Inspired by [22], §2.2 we first consider the $G_1 \times G_2$-torsor $Y_1 \times Y_2 \to X$, model of the $G_\eta \times G_\eta$-torsor $Y_\eta \times Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. Now let N be the schematic closure of $\Delta : G_\eta \hookrightarrow G_\eta \times G_\eta$ in $G_1 \times G_2$ and P the schematic closure of $\Delta : Y_\eta \hookrightarrow Y_\eta \times Y_\eta$ in $Y_1 \times Y_2$, then (P, N) is an object of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ according to Lemma 2.7 preceding both (Y_1, G_1) and (Y_2, G_2). If moreover (Y_1, G_1) and (Y_2, G_2) both precede a third one (Y_0, G_0) then (P, N) makes the natural diagram commute and this proves that $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is cofiltered. Then we construct the projective limit of all such models which is still a qf torsor $T \to X$ under the action of a qf and flat S-group scheme H; since inverse limits of schemes commute with base change it is clear that $T \to X$ is a model of $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. This is, by construction, a maximal model of $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$. □

Corollary 2.15. *The maximal model is unique, provided it exists, up to canonical isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from the proof of Theorem 2.14. □

Corollary 2.16. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.12. Then $Y \to X$ is preceded by a Galois torsor.*

Proof. That $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ contains a Galois torsor $Z \to X_\eta$ over X_η is a consequence of [5], Proposition 2.6. Then by Lemma 2.7 we get a model $\overline{Z} \to X$ of $Z \to X_\eta$ contained in $Y \to X$. Let us construct (Theorem 2.14) the maximal model of $Z \to X_\eta$. It precedes $\overline{Z} \to X$, hence $Y \to X$, and it is Galois, according to Corollary 2.13. □

In the following proposition we state and prove the universal property for maximal models:

Proposition 2.17. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.12. Assume that $Y \to X$ is the maximal model of its generic fibre. Let M be a flat qf S-group scheme and $T \to X$ an M-torsor. Assume there exists a morphim of torsors $u : Y_\eta \to T_\eta$ over X_η, then there is a unique morphism of torsors $v : Y \to T$ over X extending u.*

Proof. Construct the schematic closure $(\overline{Y_\eta}, \overline{G_\eta})$ (cf. Lemma 2.7) of $(Y_\eta, G_\eta) \hookrightarrow (T_\eta \times Y_\eta, M_\eta \times G_\eta)$ in $(T \times Y, M \times G)$, thus obtaining a torsor preceding both torsors $T \to X$ and $Y \to X$ then the natural associated morphism of S-group schemes $\overline{G_\eta} \to G$ is an isomorphism (as $Y \to X$ is maximal) and we are done. It only remains to prove the unicity of the morphism $v : T \to Y$ whose existence is proved by previous discussion: this is again a consequence of the fact that two morphisms between flat affine group schemes over S coincide if and only if they coincide on the generic fibre (see, again, [24] Lemma 1.1). □

In the following lemmas we state criteria to establish whether a torsor over X is Galois.

Lemma 2.18. *Let S, X, G and $Y \to X$ be as in Notation 2.12. Assume moreover that G is quasi-finite. If for any point $s \in S$ the G_s-torsor $Y_s \to X_s$ is Galois then $Y \to X$ is Galois (hence a maximal model of its generic fiber).*

Proof. Take $T \to X$ any qf torsor generically isomorphic to $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$ and let $T \to Y$ be any morphism of torsors (hence a model map). First we observe that we can assume $T \to X$ to be quasi-finite; by assumption $T_s \to Y_s$ is faithfully flat and it is immediately seen that $T \to Y$ is surjective. That it is also flat is a consequence of the *critère de platitude par fibres* (cf. again [11], Théorème 11.3.10). □

It is false, however, that if $Y \to X$ is Galois and maximal then $Y_s \to X_s$ is Galois too, $s \in S$ being a closed point (cf. §A.2). The following criterion holds when the base scheme is the spectrum of a field k (otherwise it is false); when X is proper, connected with $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = k$ it is known ([19], Ch. II, Proposition 3) and is also a necessary condition.

Lemma 2.19. *Let $S = Spec(k)$ be the spectrum of a field, X a S-scheme of finite type, G a finite k-group scheme and $f : Y \to X$ a G-torsor. If the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ is an isomorphism then $Y \to X$ is Galois.*

Proof. Let us set $A := \mathcal{O}_X(X) = \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ and, by contradiction, assume that $f : Y \to X$ is not Galois. Then there exist a S-group scheme $H < G$ of order $d := |H| < |G| =: n$ and a H-torsor T contained in Y; in particular T trivializes Y, indeed

$$Y \times_X T = (T \times^H G) \times_X T \simeq (T \times_X T) \times^H G \simeq (T \times H) \times^H G \simeq T \times G;$$

let $Y' := Y \times^G G/H$ be the homogenous space over X (under the action of G). Locally, over T, Y' is isomorphic to $T \times G/H$. This is summarized by the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T \times H \arrow[rrrr] \arrow[dr, hook, "i'"] \arrow[dddr] & & & & T \arrow[dr, hook, "i"] \arrow[dddr] & & \\
& T \times G \arrow[rrrr] \arrow[dr, two heads, "q'"] \arrow[dd, "f'"] & & & & Y \arrow[dr, two heads, "q"] \arrow[dd, "f"] & \\
& & T \times G/H \arrow[rrrr] \arrow[dl, "p'"'] & & & & Y' \arrow[dl, "p"] \\
& T \arrow[rrrr] & & & & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now, set $Q := q(i(T))$; then $Q' := Q \times_X T \simeq q'(i'(T \times H)) \simeq T$ is a closed subschme of $T \times G/H$, in particular $p'|_{Q'}$ is an isomorphism, hence $p|_Q$ is an isomorphism too and consequently p has a global section $s : X \to Q \to Y'$. So $Y' \to X$ is "trivial" (meaning that $Y' \simeq X \times G/H$) and $p_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y'})(X) = \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(Y') = A^{\oplus n/d}$, but since q is faithfully flat then $\mathcal{O}_{Y'} \hookrightarrow q_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ that implies

$$A^{\oplus n/d} = \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(Y') \hookrightarrow q_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)(Y') = \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) = A$$

which is a contradiction. $\square$

Example 2.20. If X is a semi-abelian scheme over S then for every positive integer m the multiplication by m map $m_X : X \to X$, which is flat and quasi-finite, but not proper in general (cf. [6], §7.3 Lemma 2), is the maximal model for $m_{X_\eta} : X_\eta \to X_\eta$. From Lemma 2.19 one deduces that for any point $s \in S$, $X_s \to X_s$ is Galois, then one concludes with Lemma 2.18. If X is an abelian scheme then $m_X : X \to X$ is proper, as X is, hence finite and not just quasi-finite.

Example 2.21. Let R be a discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic p with uniformising element π and with fraction and residue field respectively denoted by K and k. Let $X := Spec(R[x])$ be the affine line over R. In Example A.7 we will see that

$$Y := Spec(R[x,y]/(\pi^{p-1}y^p - y - x))$$

is a G-torsor, where $G = Spec(R[y]/(\pi^{p-1}y^p - y))$ is a quasi-finite R-flat group scheme with $G_\eta \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_K$ and $G_s \simeq \{1\}_k = Spec(k)$ and it is easy to verify that it is the maximal model of the Galois $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_K$-torsor $Y_\eta \simeq Spec(K[x,y]/(y^p - y - \pi x))$ as $Y_s \simeq X_s \simeq Spec(k[x])$ (Lemma 2.18).

Remark 2.22. It worths noting that one can define a *dual* notion of minimal models of torsors with a universal property *dual* to that given by Proposition 2.17. However it is not difficult to find examples where a minimal model does not exist even when a model exists.

2.2 Existence of a model

In section 2.1, Theorem 2.14, we have proved the existence of a maximal model for a given torsor provided it admits at least a model. In this section and the following one we are going to prove the existence of a model in a quite large generality up to a little modification of the given data as will soon be explained. Unless stated otherwise, from now till the end of section 2.2 we only consider the following situation:

Notation 2.23. Let S be a *trait*, i.e. the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring R with uniformising element π, with fraction and residue field denoted by K and k respectively. We denote by X a faithfully flat S-scheme of finite type provided with a section $x \in X(S)$. We assume moreover that the special fibre X_s is integral for every closed point $s \in X$.

Lemma 2.24 is the main ingredient of Theorem 2.26. In order to better understand its functioning we give in §A.2 few examples where we construct a model for a given torsor over X_η using the techniques described in it.

Lemma 2.24. *Let notations be as in 2.23 where we assume $X = Spec(A)$ to be affine. Let G be a K-finite group scheme, $Y = Spec(B)$ a K-scheme and $f : Y \to X_\eta$ a G-torsor pointed in $y \in Y(K)$ lying over x_η. Let us assume that B is free over $A_K := A \otimes_R K$. Then there exist a quasi-finite and flat S-group scheme G', model of G and a G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X'$ extending the given G-torsor Y, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing up.*

Proof. By assumption $X = Spec(A)$ is an affine scheme over $S = Spec(R)$ and we denote by $X_\eta = Spec(A_K)$ its generic fibre. The point x corresponds to a R-ring morphism $\alpha : A \to R$ which, tensoring by K over R, gives the K-morphism $\alpha_K : A_K \to K$, corresponding to x_η. Since we are assuming that Y has a K-rational point $y : Spec(K) \to Y$ over $x_\eta : Spec(K) \to X_\eta$ then in particular $Y_{x_\eta} = Spec(B \otimes_{A_K} K) \simeq G$ and if we set $C := B \otimes_{A_K} K$ we can assume $G = Spec(C)$. Hence C is a quotient of B and we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd} C & B \arrow[l, two heads, "q"'] \\ K \arrow[u, hook] & A_K \arrow[l, two heads, "\alpha"] \arrow[u, hook] \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} B \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & C \otimes_K B \arrow[d, "id_C \otimes q"] \\ C \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & C \otimes_K C \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

where Δ is the comultiplication of the K-Hopf algebra C and ρ is the coaction induced by the (right) action of $\sigma : Y \times G \to Y$ thus giving B a structure of (left) comodule over C. Finally q is the morphism induced by the closed immersion $G \hookrightarrow Y$ and we will denote by $\varepsilon : C \to K$ and $S : C \to C$, respectively, the counit and the coinverse morphisms of C. We choose a K-basis $< c_1, ..., c_d >$ for C as a K-module. Since we are assuming B is free as an A_K-module then we can always find a basis $< b_1, ..., b_d >$ for B over A_K such that $c_i := q(b_i)$. Now let us set

$$\rho(b_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i \otimes b_{ij} \tag{2}$$

for some uniquely determined $b_{ij} \in B$ and $c_{ij} := q(b_{ij}) \in C$. Since $(\varepsilon \otimes id)\rho = id$ we have $b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \varepsilon(c_i) b_{ij}$ thus in particular the b_{ij} generate B as a A_K-module and the c_{ij} generate C as a K-vector space. From (2) and the second diagram in (1) we get the formula

$$\Delta(c_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i \otimes c_{ij}. \tag{3}$$

From equality $(id \otimes \rho)\rho = (\Delta \otimes id)\rho$ applied to (2) one deduces

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i \otimes \rho(b_{ij}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \Delta(c_i) \otimes b_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{d} c_r \otimes c_{ri} \right) \otimes b_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{d} c_r \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{d} (c_{ri} \otimes b_{ij}) \tag{4}$$

hence, comparing coefficients, we obtain

$$\rho(b_{ij}) = \sum_{r=1}^{d} c_{ir} \otimes b_{rj} \tag{5}$$

and consequently

$$\Delta(c_{ij}) = \sum_{r=1}^{d} c_{ir} \otimes c_{rj}. \tag{6}$$

Applying to the latter the equality $(\varepsilon \otimes id)\Delta = id$ and comparing coefficients we get

$$\varepsilon(c_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \text{ (the Kronecker symbol).} \tag{7}$$

Moreover recalling that $\Delta(S, id) = \varepsilon$ we obtain

$$\delta_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{d} S(c_{ir})c_{rj}$$

thus $S(c_{sr})$ is the (s, r)-th entry (s-th row, r-th column) in the $d \times d$ matrix $[c_{ij}]^{-1}$. In particular $1/(det[c_{ij}]) \in C$ and $\Delta(1/(det[c_{ij}])) = 1/(det[c_{ij}]) \otimes 1/(det[c_{ij}])$, since $\Delta(det[c_{ij}]) = det[c_{ij}] \otimes det[c_{ij}]$.

Now we need to prove that $det[b_{ij}]$ is invertible in B. First of all we observe that $\rho(det[b_{ij}]) = \det[c_{ij}] \otimes det[b_{ij}]$, then we proceed as follows: the isomorphism given by $Y \times G \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_{X_\eta} Y, (y, g) \mapsto (y, yg)$ gives rise to the isomorphism

$$\Psi : B \otimes_{A_K} B \xrightarrow{\sim} C \otimes B \qquad b_{ij} \otimes b_{rs} \mapsto \rho(b_{ij})(1 \otimes b_{rs}) \tag{8}$$

then in particular

$$\Psi(det[b_{ij}] \otimes 1) = \det[c_{ij}] \otimes det[b_{ij}] = (\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1)(1 \otimes det[b_{ij}])$$

whence

$$det[b_{ij}] \otimes 1 = \Psi^{-1}(\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1)\Psi^{-1}(1 \otimes det[b_{ij}]) = \left(\Psi^{-1}(\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1)\right)(1 \otimes det[b_{ij}]) \tag{9}$$

applying $q \otimes q$ to both sides we get

$$det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1 = (q \otimes q)\left(\Psi^{-1}(\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1)\right)(1 \otimes det[c_{ij}])$$

then $(q \otimes q)\left(\Psi^{-1}(\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1)\right) = det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1/det[c_{ij}]$ and this implies that

$$\Psi^{-1}(\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1) = det[b_{ij}] \otimes \delta + \omega \tag{10}$$

where $\delta \in q^{-1}(1/det[c_{ij}])$ and $\omega \in ker(q \otimes q)$. Now let $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis for B as a K-vector space where we can assume (by the incomplete basis theorem) that $a_1 = det[b_{ij}]$. We can also write $\omega = \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} a_i \otimes a_j$ where all but finitely many $\gamma_{ij} \in K$ are zero, then $\omega \cdot (1 \otimes det[b_{ij}]) = \sum_i \left(a_i \otimes \sum_j (\gamma_{ij} a_1 a_j) \right)$. From this latter equation, (9) and (10) we finally obtain

$$a_1 \otimes 1 = a_1 \otimes a_1 \delta + \sum_i \left(a_i \otimes \sum_j (\gamma_{ij} a_1 a_j) \right)$$

whence

$$a_1 \otimes (1 - a_1 \delta) = \sum_i \left(a_i \otimes \sum_j (\gamma_{ij} a_1 a_j) \right).$$

If $(1 - a_1\delta) = 0$ we are done. So let us assume that $(1 - a_1\delta) \neq 0$, the last equation implies that, comparing coefficients, $\sum_j (\gamma_{ij} a_1 a_j) = 0$ when $i \neq 1$ and $a_1 \sum_j (\gamma_{1j} a_j) = (1 - a_1\delta)$, then, setting $\gamma := \sum_j (\gamma_{1j} a_j)$, we have $a_1 \gamma = (1 - a_1 \delta)$ where $\gamma \neq 0$. Hence a_1 is invertible too as $1 = a_1(\delta + \gamma)$. So we have proved that $1/det[b_{ij}] \in B$ and at the same time that $\Psi^{-1}(\det[c_{ij}] \otimes 1) = det[b_{ij}] \otimes 1/det[b_{ij}]$.

Now consider the surjective morphism of A_K-algebras:

$$u : A_K[y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]] \to B, \qquad y_{ij} \to b_{ij}$$

then if we identify B with the quotient $A_K[y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]$ by $ker(u)$ and we take, via α, the tensor product over K, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B = & \quad \tfrac{A_K[y_{11},...,y_{dd},1/det[y_{ij}]]}{f_1,...,f_s} \\ C = & \quad \tfrac{K[x_{11},...,x_{dd},1/det[x_{ij}]]}{\alpha_{K*}(f_1),...,\alpha_{K*}(f_s)} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For each $i = 1, ..., s$ we assume that the polynomials f_i have coefficients in A (simply chasing denominators). Consequently the $\alpha_*(f_i)$ have coefficients in R. Let us summarize what we have obtained: from equalities (6) and (7) we have

$$\Delta(x_{ij}) = \sum_{r=1}^{d} x_{ir} \otimes x_{rj}, \qquad \varepsilon(x_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \forall (i,j) \in \{1, ..., d\}^2$$

thus identifying, as known (cf. again [26], §3.4), G with a subgroup scheme of $GL_{d,K}$ where the coinverse S satisfies the equality

$$\delta_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{d} S(x_{ir}) x_{rj}$$

thus $S(x_{rs})$ is the (r,s)-th entry (r-th row, s-th column) in the matrix $[x_{ij}]^{-1}$. The coaction on the y_{ij} follows from (5) and it is given by

$$\rho(y_{ij}) = \sum_{r=1}^{d} x_{ir} \otimes y_{rj}, \qquad \forall (i,j) \in \{1,...,d\}^2$$

In this way the isomorphism given by $Y \times G \to Y \times_X Y, (y,g) \mapsto (y, yg)$ can be described (after (8)) as

$$\Psi : B \otimes_{A_K} B \xrightarrow{\sim} C \otimes B \qquad y_{ij} \otimes y_{rs} \mapsto \rho(y_{ij})(1 \otimes y_{rs}).$$

We are going to describe Ψ^{-1} and for this we use the fact, proved before, that $1/det[y_{ij}] \in B$. Since of course $\Psi^{-1}(1 \otimes y_{ij}) = (1 \otimes y_{ij})$ it only remains to compute $\Psi^{-1}(x_{ij} \otimes 1)$. We claim that

$$\Psi^{-1}(x_{ij} \otimes 1) = \sum_{r=1}^{d} y_{ir} \otimes H(y_{rj})$$

where, for all $(r,s) \in \{1,...,d\}^2$, $H(y_{rs})$ denotes the (s,r)-th entry (s-th row, r-th column) in the $d \times d$ matrix $[y_{ij}]^{-1}$. Indeed

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{r=1}^{d} y_{ir} \otimes H(y_{rj})\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{d} \rho(y_{ir})(1 \otimes H(y_{rj})) = \sum_{r=1}^{d}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{d} x_{is} \otimes (y_{sr} H(y_{rj}))\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{d}\left(x_{is} \otimes \sum_{r=1}^{d}(y_{sr} H(y_{rj}))\right) = \sum_{s=1}^{d}(x_{is} \otimes \delta_{sj}) = x_{ij} \otimes 1.$$

Now it is important to observe that $H(y_{rj}) = P\left(y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, \frac{1}{det[y_{ij}]}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, \frac{1}{det[y_{ij}]}\right]$ so in particular it has coefficients in R. So let us set

$$B' := \frac{A[y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]}{f_1, ..., f_s, q_1, ..., q_h} \tag{12}$$

where the polynomials q_i are, if necessary, the relations that cut R-torsion; thus it is the only flat quotient of $A[y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]$ which is isomorphic to B after tensoring with K over R ([10] Lemme 2.8.1.1) and set

$$C' := B' \otimes_A R = \frac{R[x_{11}, ..., x_{dd}, 1/det[x_{ij}]]}{\alpha_*(f_1), ..., \alpha_*(f_s), \alpha_*(q_1), ..., \alpha_*(q_h)} \tag{13}$$

Of course $C' \otimes_R K \simeq C$ but we do not know neither whether C' is R-flat nor whether $A \otimes_R k \to B' \otimes_R k$ is finite (cf. Appendix A.2). Let us assume that these two conditions are satisfied then later we will explain how to always reduce to this case. Thus C' becomes a Hopf algebra over R when provided with the comultiplication given by the restriction of Δ to C':

$$\Delta' : C' \to C' \otimes C' \qquad x_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{r=1}^{d} x_{ir} \otimes x_{rj}$$

the coinverse given by

$$S' : C' \to C' \qquad x_{ij} \mapsto S'(x_{ij})$$

where $S'(x_{rs})$ denotes the (s,r)-th entry in the matrix $[x_{ij}]^{-1}$, and finally the counity given by

$$\varepsilon' : C' \to R \qquad x_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}.$$

Moreover B' acquires a structure of (left) comodule over C' when provided with the coaction given by

$$\rho' : B' \to C' \otimes_R B' \qquad y_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{r=1}^{d} x_{ir} \otimes y_{rj}.$$

Furthermore the natural morphism

$$\Psi' : B' \otimes_A B' \longrightarrow C' \otimes B' \qquad y_{ij} \otimes y_{rs} \mapsto \rho'(y_{ij})(1 \otimes y_{rs}). \tag{14}$$

has an inverse given by

$$\Psi^{-1} : C' \otimes B' \xrightarrow{\sim} B' \otimes_A B' \qquad x_{ij} \otimes y_{uv} \mapsto \sum_{r=1}^{d} y_{ir} \otimes (H(y_{rj})y_{uv}) \tag{15}$$

and it is thus an isomorphism. Setting $G' := Spec(C')$ and $Y' := Spec(B')$ then G' is a R-quasi-finite flat group scheme of finite type acting on Y' such that $Y' \to X$ is a G'-invariant morphism. Finally inverting arrows in (14) and (15) we obtain the desired isomorphism

$$Y' \times G' \xrightarrow{\sim} Y' \times_X Y'$$

thus according to Lemma 2.5 $Y' \to X$ is a G'-torsor (here we need that $A \otimes_R k \to B' \otimes_R k$ is finite).
This is the end of the proof. It only remains to deal with the case C' not R-flat and $A \otimes_R k \to B' \otimes_R k$ not finite, as explained before. First, A being of finite type over R, we can write $A = R[t_1, ..., t_r]/u_1(t_1, ..., t_r), ..., u_m(t_1, ..., t_r)$; so we rewrite in a useful way equations (11):

$$B = \frac{K[t_1, ..., t_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]}{u_1, ..., u_m, f_1, .., f_s} \tag{16}$$

where the $u_i = u_i(t_1, ..., t_r), i = 1, ..., m$ and $f_j = f_j(t_1, ..., t_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]), j = 1, ..., s$ are polynomials with coefficients in K. Chasing denominators if necessary we can assume that these polynomials have coefficients in R with at least one coefficient with valuation equal to 0. Since X is affine we can also assume, up to a translation, that the point $x \in X(R)$ is the origin so that for C we obtain the following description:

$$C = \frac{K[x_{11}, ..., x_{dd}, 1/det[x_{ij}]]}{\alpha_*(f_1), .., \alpha_*(f_s)} \tag{17}$$

and moreover for every $j = 1, ..., s$, $f_j(t_1, ..., t_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}])$ can be rewritten as

$$\alpha_*(f_j)(y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]) + \sum_{l=1}^{L_j} v_{jl}(y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}])g_{jl}(t_1, ..., t_r)$$

for $L_j \in \mathbb{N}$, where v_{jl} and g_{jl} are polynomials with coefficients in R, by the above assumption, and $g_{jl}(0, ..., 0) = 0$. Hence we write B' as follows

$$B' = \frac{R[t_1, ..., t_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]}{u_1, ..., u_m, f_1, .., f_s, q_1, ..., q_h} \tag{18}$$

where the $q_i = f_i(t_1, ..., t_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]), i = 1, ..., h$ are polynomials with coefficients in R cutting the R-torsion so that B' is R-flat and $C' := B' \otimes_A R$ is as follows

$$C' = \frac{R[x_{11}, ..., x_{dd}, 1/det[x_{ij}]]}{\alpha_*(f_1), .., \alpha_*(f_s), \alpha_*(q_1), .., \alpha_*(q_h)} \tag{19}$$

As for f_j let us write for every $i = 1, ..., h$,

$$q_i = \alpha_*(q_i)(y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]) + \sum_{l=1}^{L_i} w_{il}(y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}])h_{il}(t_1, ..., t_r)$$

for $L_i \in \mathbb{N}$, where w_{il} and h_{il} are polynomials with coefficients in R and $h_{il}(0, ..., 0) = 0$. Now, consider the change of variables (which is an isomorphism over K)

$$t'_j = \pi^{-e} t_j, \qquad j = 1, ..., r$$

we obtain

$$A' = \frac{R[t_1, ..., t_r, t'_1, ..., t'_r]}{u'_1(t'_1, ..., t'_r), ..., u_m(t'_1, ..., t'_r), \{\pi^e t'_j = t_j\}_{j=1,...,r}}$$

where u'_i is obtained from u_i replacing t_j with $\pi^e t'_j$ and dividing it by a suitable power of π so that the resulting polynomial has coefficients in R with at least one with valuation zero. If we call $X' := Spec(A')$ then X' is nothing else but the Néron blowing up of X in $x_s \in X_s$. In a similar way from B' we obtain the R-flat algebra B''

$$\frac{R[t_1, ..., t_r, t'_1, ..., t'_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]}{u'_1, ..., u'_m, \{\alpha_*(f'_j) + \sum_{l=1}^{L_j} v'_{jl} g'_{jl}\}_{j=1,...,n}, \{\alpha_*(q'_1) + \sum_{l=1}^{L_i} w'_{il} h'_{il}\}_{i=1,...,s}, \{\pi^e t'_j = t_j\}_{j=1,...,r}}$$

and we set $Y'' := Spec(B'')$ and $G'' := Spec(C'')$ where

$$C'' = \frac{R[x_{11}, ..., x_{dd}, 1/det[x_{ij}]]}{\alpha_*(f'_1), .., \alpha_*(f'_n), \alpha_*(q_1), .., \alpha_*(q_s)}.$$

For a sufficiently big e, the exponent of π in the equations $t'_j = \pi^{-e} t_j$, we have

$$Y''_s = Spec\left(\frac{k[t'_1, ..., t'_r, y_{11}, ..., y_{dd}, 1/det[y_{ij}]]}{u'_1, ..., u'_m, \alpha_*(f'_1), .., \alpha_*(f'_n), \alpha_*(q'_1), ..., \alpha_*(q'_s)}\right)$$

which is isomorphic to ${G''_s}_{X'_s} := G''_s \times_k X'_s$ and thus faithfully flat over X'_s. By the already mentioned *critère de platitude par fibres* it follows that $Y'' \to X'$ is faithfully flat too thus quasi-finite. Hence also $G'' \to Spec(R)$ is flat and quasi-finite and thus coincide with the schematic closure of G in $GL_{n,R}$. Now we can use previous arguments to conclude that $Y'' \to X'$ is the desired G''-torsor. ☐

Remark 2.25. From the proof of Lemma 2.24 we see that once we fix an embedding $G \hookrightarrow GL_{n,K}$ then the model that we find is a torsor under the action of G', where G' is the schematic closure in $GL_{n,R}$ of G.

We now state and prove the main Theorem of the paper:

Theorem 2.26. *Let notations be as in 2.23. Let G be a K-finite group scheme and $f : Y \to X_\eta$ a G-torsor pointed in $y \in Y(K)$ lying over x_η. Then there exist a quasi-finite and flat S-group scheme G', model of G and a pointed G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X'$ extending the given G-torsor Y, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing ups.*

Proof. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an affine open covering of X, where we assume $|I| < +\infty$ as X is quasi-compact. We can also assume $f_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ is free when restricted to $U_{i,\eta} := U_i \times_S Spec(K)$ and that every U_i contains the image of x. Let us fix an immersion $G \hookrightarrow GL_{n,K}$ and let G' be its schematic closure in $GL_{n,R}$: it is quasi-finite and flat. Now we observe that if X^{x_s} is the Néron blowing up of X in x_s then the Néron blowing up $U_i^{x_s}$ of U_i in x_s is isomorphic to $X^{x_s} \times_X U_i$ (simply using the universal property of the Néron blowig up, cf. for instance Proposition A.2); in particular the $U_i^{x_s}$ form an affine open covering of X^{x_s}. Hence since I is finite then after a finite number of Néron blowing up of X in x_s we obtain a model map $X' \to X$, an affine open covering $\{U'_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X' (each containing x) such that for every $i \in I$ the G-torsor $Y_i := Y \times_{X_\eta} U_{i,\eta}$ over $U_{i,\eta}$ can be extended (this is Lemma 2.24) over U'_i to a G'-torsor $Y'_i \to U'_i$ (by vertue of Remark 2.25). Now we need to show that they glue together on the (affine) intersection $U'_{ij} := U'_i \cap U'_j$ for any $i, j \in I$. So let us take the G'-torsors $Y'_{ij} := {Y'}_i \times_{{U'}_i} {U'}_{ij}$ over U'_{ij}, then by Corollary 2.8 the G'-torsors $Y'_{ij} \to U'_{ij}$ and $Y'_{ji} \to U'_{ji}$ coincide so we can glue the G'-torsors $Y'_i \to U'_i$ all together in order to obtain a pointed G'-torsor $Y' \to X'$ as desired. ☐

An important consequence is that every étale torsor admits a finite étale model up to a finite number of Néron blowing ups of X. This is detailed in the following Corollary which explains, more in general, how to find a *finite* model:

Corollary 2.27. *Let notations be as in Theorem 2.26. Let Γ be an abstract constant group and $G = \Gamma_K$ and $G' = \Gamma_R$ the constant group schemes over K and R respectively associated to it. Let $f : Y \to X_\eta$ be a G-torsor pointed in*

$y \in Y(K)$ lying over x_η. Then there exists a pointed G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X'$ extending the given G-torsor Y, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing up. The same claim is true if G is any finite K-group scheme and we assume that it admits a finite and flat model G'. In particular every étale torsor admits a finite étale model up to a finite number of Néron blowing ups of X and up to a finite extension of scalars.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.26 and Remark 2.25. □

As mentioned in the introduction this is certainly false if we do not modify X and this is why the famous Grothendieck's specialization morphism (cf. [12], X) fails to be injective in general (cf. also Appendix A.2 to see examples where we construct a smooth model after Néron blowing up X). So Corollary 2.27 is certainly sharp.

2.3 Existence of a model: the case of a regular scheme

In section 2.2, Theorem 2.26, we have proved the existence of a model over a scheme X, up to a finite number of Néron blowing ups, for a given finite torsor over its generic fibre X_η in large generality: indeed the only assumption is that its special fibre X_s is integral. Here we consider a particular case where the scheme X is not so general but the proof becomes short and interesting. Moreover here we will not require the existence of any section for the morphism $X \to S$ and S is any Dedekind scheme; let us be more precise:

Theorem 2.28. *Let S be a Dedekind scheme with function field K and $X \to S$ a faithfully flat morphism of finite type with X a regular and integral scheme. Let G be a K-finite group scheme and $f : Y \to X_\eta$ a G-torsor. Then there exist a G'-torsor $f' : Y' \to X'$ extending the given G-torsor Y, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing up.*

Following [7] III, §4, n^o 2, 2.1, we define, for any locally free sheaves V and V' on a A-scheme T with $rk(V) = rk(V')$, A being a commutative ring with unity, the following A-functor (i.e. a functor going from the category of commutative A-algebras with unity to the category of sets)

$$\mathcal{I}som_{X/A} : A\text{-}\mathcal{A}lg \to \mathcal{S}et$$

associating to any B in A-$\mathcal{A}lg$ the set of pairs (x, h), where $x : Spec(B) \to X$ is an A-morphism and $h : x^*(V) \to x^*(V')$ is a B-module isomorphism. Moreover to any morphism $\varphi : B \to B'$ the morphism $\mathcal{I}som_{X/A}(\varphi)$ associates to the pair (x, h) the pair $(x \circ \varphi', h \otimes_B B')$ where $\varphi' : Spec(B') \to Spec(B)$ is deduced from φ. Before concluding we need two lemmas:

Lemma 2.29. *Let S be a Dedekind scheme with function field K and $X \to S$ a faithfully flat morphism of finite type with X regular and integral. For any vector bundle V on X_η there exists a vector bundle W on X such that $j^*(W) \simeq V$.*

Proof. Let us denote by $j : X_\eta \to X$ the natural open immersion. First of all we observe that there exists a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X such that $j^*(\mathcal{F}) \simeq V$ (cf. for instance [14], II, ex. 5.15). Then $W := \mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}$, i.e. the double dual of $\mathcal{F}$, is a coherent reflexive sheaf which is, in fact, a vector bundle by [13], Corollary 1.4. That $j^*(W) \simeq V$ follows from the well known fact that $j^*(\mathcal{F}^{\vee\vee}) \simeq j^*(\mathcal{F})^{\vee\vee} \simeq V$ (see for instance the proof of [13], Proposition 1.9). $\square$

Lemma 2.30. *Let S be a Dedekind scheme with function field K and $X \to S$ a faithfully flat morphism of finite type. Let moreover G' be a quasi-finite and flat S-group scheme, Z a S-scheme provided with a right G'-action $\sigma : Z \times G' \to Z$ and $g : Z \to X$ a G'-invariant (i.e. $g \circ \sigma = g \circ pr_Z$) quasi-finite morphism such that the natural morphism $Z \times G' \to Z \times_X Z$ is an isomorphism inducing a G'_η-torsor structure on Y_η over X_η. Then there exists a model X' of X_η such that $Y \to X'$ is a G'-torsor extending the G'_η-torsor $Y_\eta \to X_\eta$, where X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing ups.*

Proof. By [20], Théorème 1, vi) $X' := Y/G$ exists[1]. It is a model of X_η but does not coincide, in general, with X. That X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing up follows, for instance, from [3], Lemma 3.17. $\square$

Proof. of Theorem 2.28. Let us take any closed immersion $\rho : G \hookrightarrow GL_{n,K}$ for a suitable n (by the already mentioned [26], §3.4, here adapted to finite and flat group schemes over R). The contracted product $Z := Y \times^G GL_{n,K}$ via ρ is a $GL_{n,K}$-torsor, then $Z \simeq \mathcal{I}som_{X_\eta/K}(\mathcal{O}^n_{X_\eta}, V)$ for a suitable vector bundle V on X_η of rank n (cf. [7] III, §4, n^o 2, 2.4, Corollaire). Let W be a vector bundle on X whose restriction to X_η is isomorphic to V (this is Lemma 2.29) and let $Z' := \mathcal{I}som_{X/R}(\mathcal{O}^n_X, W)$ be the corresponding $GL_{n,S}$-torsor extending Z. Let us denote by Y' the schematic closures of Y in Z'. As we have already observed in Lemma 2.7 the natural morphism $Y' \times_S G' \to Y' \times_X Y'$ is an isomorphism. Hence by Lemma 2.30 there exist a S-scheme X', model of X_η and a model map $X' \to X$ such that $Y' \to X'$ is the desired G'-torsor. That X' is obtained by X after a finite number of Néron blowing up follows, again, from [3], Lemma 3.17. $\square$

3 The quasi-finite fundamental group scheme

The construction of the quasi-finite fundamental group scheme at this point of the paper is mainly motivated by Conjecture 3.6 which will conclude this section.

Notation 3.1. Unless stated otherwise, throughout section 3 S will be a Dedekind scheme of dimension one, X a faithfully flat S-scheme of finite type endowed with a fixed S-valued point $x : S \to X$. We assume moreover that for every closed point $s \in S$ the fiber X_s is integral.

---

[1] The author has not been able to find a reference for a proof to this result. Alternatively one can use [20], Théorème 1, iii), proved in [20], §6: thus Lemma 2.29 follows if we first work on an open affine covering of X, then we glue as in Theorem 2.26; of course in this case we need to restrict to G' finite and the same restriction should be applied to Theorem 2.28 where we would need to require that G admits a finite and flat model $G' \to S$.

A triple (Y, G, y), or pointed torsor, over X will always stand for a torsor $Y \to X$, under the (right) action of a flat and affine S-group scheme G endowed with a S-valued point $y \in Y_x(S)$; a morphism between two triples $(Z, H, z) \to (Y, G, y)$ is a pair $(\beta, \alpha) : (Z, H) \to (Y, G)$ as defined in §1.2 such that $\beta(z) = y$. These are the morphisms that will be considered in all the categories that we will encounter.

The category whose objects are isomorphism classes of triples (Y, G, y) with the additional assumption that G is quasi-finite is denoted by $\mathcal{QF}(X)$ and its objects are still denoted, by abuse of notation, (Y, G, y). Later (Theorem 3.5) we will discuss the existence of a quasi-finite fundamental group scheme of X in x following the usual definition (cf. [19], Chapter II, Definition 1) extended here to our situation:

Definition 3.2. *X has a quasi-finite fundamental group scheme $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x)$ if there exists a triple $(\widetilde{Y}, \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x), \widetilde{y})$ such that for any $(Y, G, y) \in Ob(\mathcal{QF}(X))$ there is a unique morphism $(\widetilde{Y}, \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x), \widetilde{y}) \to (Y, G, y)$. The $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x)$-torsor $\widetilde{Y} \to X$ is called the universal torsor.*

The definitions previously given for torsors can be easily extended to triples:

Definition 3.3. We say that (Y, G, y) over X is preceded by (Z, H, z) if there exists a morphism $(\beta, \alpha) : (Z, H, z) \to (Y, G, y)$. If in particular $\alpha : H \to G$ is a closed immersion then we say that (Z, H, z) is contained in (Y, G, y).

Definition 3.4. $\mathcal{PQF}(X)$ will denote the category whose objects are isomorphism classes of triples (Y, G, y) over X with the additional assumption that Y is a qf G-torsor. In $\mathcal{PQF}(X)$ we say that (Y, G, y) is Galois[2] if for every other object (Z, H, z) and every morphism $(Z, H, z) \to (Y, G, y)$ the group scheme morphism $H \to G$ is faithfully flat. We denote by $\mathcal{G}(X)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{PQF}(X)$ whose objects are isomorphism classes of Galois triples.

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is now easy and similar to that of [5], Theorem 2.7, so many details will be skipped.

Theorem 3.5. *Let S, X and $x \in X(S)$ be as in Notation 3.1. X has a quasi-finite fundamental group scheme $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X, x)$ in x.*

Proof. First we prove that the category $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is cofiltered: given three triples $(Y_i, G_i, y_i) \in Ob(\mathcal{G}(X))$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, with (faithfully flat) morphisms $\gamma_i : (Y_i, G_i, y_i) \to (Y_0, G_0, y_0)$, $i = 1, 2$ then the triple $(Y_1 \times_{Y_0} Y_2, G_1 \times_{G_0} G_2, y_1 \times_{y_0} y_2) \in Ob(\mathcal{PQF}(X))$; if $(Y_1 \times_{Y_0} Y_2, G_1 \times_{G_0} G_2, y_1 \times_{y_0} y_2) \notin Ob(\mathcal{G}(X))$ then use Corollary 2.16 in order to find a Galois torsor (T, H) preceding $(Y_1 \times_{Y_0} Y_2, G_1 \times_{G_0} G_2)$ and one can easily provide (T, H) with a point $t \in T(S)$, arguing as in Corollary 2.9, such that the Galois triple (T, H, t) precedes $(Y_1 \times_{Y_0} Y_2, G_1 \times_{G_0} G_2, y_1 \times_{y_0} y_2)$. This proves the existence of a universal object, i.e. a pro-quasi-finite triple $(\widetilde{Y}, \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x), \widetilde{y})$ which precedes any triple in $\mathcal{G}(X)$. That any $(Y,G,y) \in \mathcal{QF}(X)$ is still preceded by $(\widetilde{Y}, \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x), \widetilde{y})$ is again a consequence of Corollary 2.16.

---

[2] These were called *reduced* by Nori in [19] for S the spectrum of a field and in the literature have been often called Nori-reduced, strongly connected or quotient.

□

We conclude this section stating the following

Conjecture 3.6. *Let S be as in Notation 3.1 with function field K, let X_K be a variety over K, endowed with a section $x_K \in X_K(K)$. Then there exists a faithfully flat scheme of finite type X over S, pointed in $x \in X(S)$ such that $X_\eta \simeq X_K, x_\eta \simeq x_K$ such that the canonical morphism*

$$v : \pi(X_\eta, x_\eta) \to \pi^{qf}(X,x)_\eta$$

is an isomorphism.

It is clear that, if S is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring R, Conjecture 1.3 is true if and only if the previous conjecture is true too (via Corollary 2.9) then it would be reasonable to focus on this particular case. That v is faithfully flat easily follows from Corollary 2.13. For the abelian part of $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x)$ a solution is given in [4] where, in fact, instead of $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x)$ we just considered $\pi(X,x)$. However in general it is not reasonable to think that Conjecture 3.6 holds for $\pi(X,x)$ as torsors over X_η under the action of a group scheme which does not have a finite flat model certainly do not extend to a finite model. Finally, though examples where $\pi(X_s,x_s) \simeq \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x)_s$ are known (e.g. when X is an abelian scheme or more trivially when $X = \mathbb{P}^1_S$) the natural morphism $u : \pi(X_s,x_s) \to \pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x)_s$ in general is neither faithfully flat nor a closed immersion. In §A.2 we will see why it can be *non* faithfully flat, hereafter, instead, why in general it is not a closed immersion:

Example 3.7. Assume that R is of mixed characteristic $(0,p)$ and consider the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_R := Spec(R[x])$. Then it is known that $\pi(X_\eta, x_\eta) = \{1\}_K$, hence $\pi^{\mathrm{qf}}(X,x) = \pi(X,x) = \{1\}_R$, but $\pi(X_s,x_s) \neq \{1\}_k$.

A Appendix

A.1 Néron blowing ups of torsors

In this first section of the Appendix we recall the definition of Néron blowing up then we use it to Néron blow up torsors. This technique in practice provides a useful tool to find the maximal model of a torsor. Unless stated otherwise, from now till the end of section A.1 we only consider the following situation:

Notation A.1. We denote by S the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring R (Henselian in Lemma A.4) with uniformising element π and with fraction and residue field respectively denoted by K and k. As usual η and s will denote the generic and special point of S respectively. Finally we denote by X a faithfully flat S-scheme of finite type.

Hereafter we recall a well known result that will be used later:

Proposition A.2. *Let notations be as in A.1, let* C *be a closed subscheme of the special fibre* X_s *of* X *and let* $\mathcal{I}$ *be the sheaf of ideals of* $\mathcal{O}_X$ *defining* C*. Let* $X' \to X$ *be the blowing up of* X *at* C *and* $u : X^C \to X$ *denote its restriction to the open subscheme of* X' *where* $\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ *is generated by* π*. Then:*

1. X^C *is a flat* S*-scheme,* u *is an affine model map.*
2. *For any flat* S*-scheme* Z *and for any* S*-morphism* $v : Z \to X$ *such that* v_k *factors through* C*, there exists a unique* S*-morphism* $v' : Z \to X^C$ *such that* $v = u \circ v'$*.*

Proof. Cf. [6], §3.2 Proposition 1 or [1], II, 2.1.2 (A). □

Remark A.3. Proposition A.2 holds even when X is not of finite type but it is a projective limit $X := \varprojlim_i X_i$ of schemes X_i of finite type over R, all with generic fibre isomorphic to X_η. Indeed it is sufficient to consider the projective limit $\varprojlim_i (X_i)^{C_i}$, that we will denote again X^C, where C_i is the scheme theoretic image of C in $(X_i)_s$, thus a closed subscheme of the latter.

The morphism $X^C \to X$ (or simply X^C) as in Proposition A.2 or Remark A.3 is called the Néron blowing up of X at C and property 2 is often referred to as the universal property of the Néron blowing up.

Lemma A.4 shows that maximal (then all) models of finite étale torsors are always of finite type.

Lemma A.4. *Any qf, flat* R*-group scheme* M *with finite and smooth generic fibre is of finite type, thus quasi-finite.*

Proof. Indeed let G be a quasi-finite, flat R-group scheme of finite type such that $G_\eta \simeq M_\eta$ then after a finite number of Néron blowing ups ([1], Théorème 2.1.1) it becomes smooth, i.e. there exist a smooth, quasi-finite R-group scheme of finite type G' and a model map $G' \to G$. Then it is known that the Néron blowing up G'^H of G' at any subgroup scheme $H < G'_s$ is again smooth ([6], §3.2 Proposition 3) with *smaller* special fibre, that is $|(G'^H)_s| \leq |G'_s|$, equality holding only when $|G'_s| = 1$ (this can be seen working on the finite part of G'). Thus in a finite number of steps we obtain a model N, which has trivial special fibre (i.e. $N_s \simeq \{1\}_k$) and it is of finite type since obtained after a finite number of Néron blowing ups. Since there exists a model map $N \to M$ then M is also of finite type. □

Remark A.5. What stated in Lemma A.4 is not true, in general, when R has equal characteristic $p > 0$ for group schemes whose generic fibre is not smooth. It is sufficient to consider the projective limit of the models of $\mu_{p,K}$.

Now we can see how to Néron blow up torsors:

Lemma A.6. *Let G be a qf and flat S-group scheme and H a closed subgroup scheme of G_s. Assume moreover that X_s is integral. Let Y be a G-torsor and Z a H-torsor over X_s, subtorsor of $Y_s \to X_s$. Then if $(Y^Z)_s \to X_s$ is finite then $Y^Z \to X$ is a G^H-torsor and the model map $Y^Z \to Y$ is a morphim of torsors.*

Proof. From the universal property of Néron blowing ups we first obtain an action of G^H on Y^Z: indeed $Y^Z \times G^H \to Y \times G \to Y$, where the last morphism is the action of G on Y, specially factors through Z, whence a morphism $Y^Z \times G^H \to Y^Z$ that gives the desired action. Under this action $Y^Z \to X$ is G^H-invariant, then we have a natural morphism $Y^Z \times G^H \to Y^Z \times_X Y^Z$. Moreover $Y^Z \times_X Y^Z \to Y \times_X Y$ specially factors through $Z \times H$ then we obtain a morphism $Y^Z \times_X Y^Z \to Y^Z \times G^H$ and consequently $Y^Z \times G^H \simeq Y^Z \times_X Y^Z$ hence by Lemma 2.5 $Y^Z \to X$ is a G^H-torsor. □

We will see in §A.2 that we really need to require that $(Y^Z)_s \to X_s$ is finite. Here we give an example using the method given by Lemma A.6:

Example A.7. Assume R has positive characteristic p. Let $X := Spec(R[x])$ be the affine line over R. Then

$$Y := Spec(R[x,y]/(y^p - y - \pi x))$$

is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_R$-torsor ([17], III, Proposition 4.12), with special fibre

$$Y_s = Spec(k[x,y]/(y^p - y))$$

which is a trivial $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_k$-torsor. It is then clear that X_s is a subtorsor of Y_s and we can blow up Y at X_s following Lemma A.6 thus getting a G-torsor where G is obtained after Néron blowing up of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_R$ at $\{1\}_k = Spec(k)$, closed subgroup scheme of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_k$, so that $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_R^{\{1\}_k} = Spec(R[y]/(\pi^{p-1}y^p - y))$; indeed $G = Spec(R[G])$ where $R[G] := R[x, \pi^{-1}x]/(x^p - x) = R[y]/(\pi^{p-1}y^p - y)$ where we have set $y = \pi^{-1}x$. It is flat as the Néron blowing up is always flat, quasi-finite and of finite type, but clearly not finite. In a similar way $Y^{X_s} = Spec(R[x,y]/(\pi^{p-1}y^p - y - x))$ then we obtain the G-torsor introduced in Example 2.21.

A.2 Construction of a model: some examples

Hereafter we construct explicitly models of torsors using the proof of Theorem 2.26 (or, more precisely, Lemma 2.24). We will also find the maximal model. Notations are as in Notation A.1 where R has positive characteristic p. Let us set $X := Spec(R[x])$. By [17], III, Proposition 4.12 we know that $Y := Spec\left(\frac{K[y,z]}{z^p - z - \pi^\gamma y}\right)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_K$-torsor over X_η pointed over the origin of the affine line $Spec(K[x])$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $G = Y_{x_\eta} = Spec\left(\frac{K[x]}{x^p - x}\right)$. The easiest case is when $\gamma = 0$ where clearly $Spec\left(\frac{R[y,z]}{z^p - z - y}\right)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_R$-torsor, maximal model of the given one and we will not spend more time on it.

If $\gamma > 0$ then we observe, again, that $Spec\left(\frac{R[y,z]}{z^p - z - \pi^\gamma y}\right)$ is a finite model of Y with trivial special fibre. Let us find a maximal model: we Néron blow up (cf. Example A.7) the torsor $Spec\left(\frac{R[y,z]}{z^p - z - \pi^\gamma y}\right)$ in $Spec(k[z])$ γ times in order to find the maximal model $Spec\left(\frac{R[y,z]}{\pi^{\gamma(p-1)} z^p - z - y}\right)$. So far we have not used, because not really necessary, Lemma 2.24, however it will be interesting to use it in the next, and last, case.

From now on we only consider the case $p = 2$. If $\gamma < 0$ we argue as follows: we set $C := \frac{K[x]}{x^2 - x}$ and $B := \frac{K[y,z]}{z^2 - z - \pi^\gamma y}$. Let us choose for C the K-basis $< 1, \pi^\alpha z >$ for B and $< 1, \pi^\alpha x >$ for C, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, 2\alpha + \gamma \geq 0$ so that

$$\rho(1) = 1 \otimes 1 + \pi^\alpha x \otimes 0, \qquad \rho(\pi^\alpha z) = 1 \otimes \pi^\alpha z + \pi^\alpha x \otimes 1$$

hence $z_{11} = 1, z_{12} = \pi^\alpha z, z_{21} = 0, z_{22} = 1, det = 1$ and

$$B' \simeq \frac{R[y, z_{11}, ..z_{22}]}{z_{12}^2 - \pi^\alpha z_{12} - \pi^{2\alpha+\gamma} y, z_{11} - 1, z_{21}, z_{22} - 1} \simeq \frac{R[z_{12}, x]}{z_{12}^2 - \pi^\alpha z_{12} - \pi^{2\alpha+\gamma} x}$$

and $B' \otimes_A R \simeq \frac{R[x_{12}]}{x_{12}^2 - \pi^\alpha x_{12}}$, which is R-flat (here we find the R-group schemes defined in [16], §3.2). If γ is even then the maximal model of Y is given by $Y' := Spec\left(\frac{R[z_{12},y]}{z_{12}^2 - \pi^{-\gamma/2} z_{12} - y}\right)$, the only one with non trivial special fibre, obtained for $\alpha = -\gamma/2$. If γ is odd then the maximal model of Y is given by $Y' := Spec\left(\frac{R[z_{12},y]}{z_{12}^2 - \pi^{(1-\gamma)/2} z_{12} - \pi y}\right)$ obtained for $\alpha = (1-\gamma)/2$ which, however, has still a trivial special fibre.

So far we always found a model over X. Let us now see, however, what can happen in the previous case with a *bad* choice of the basis. So let us consider the case $\gamma = -1$ and let us choose for C the K-basis : $< 1, x >$ and for B the A-basis $< 1, z >$. With these assumptions we have

$$\rho(1) = 1 \otimes 1 + x \otimes 0, \qquad \rho(z) = 1 \otimes z + x \otimes 1$$

thus $z_{11} = 1, z_{12} = z, z_{21} = 0, z_{22} = 1$, $det = 1$ and $x_{11} = 1, x_{12} = x, x_{21} = 0, x_{22} = 1$ so that a model for B is given by

$$B' \simeq \frac{R[y, z_{11}, ..z_{22}]}{\pi z_{12}^2 - \pi z_{12} - y, z_{11} - 1, z_{21}, z_{22} - 1} \simeq \frac{R[y, z_{12}]}{\pi z_{12}^2 - \pi z_{12} - y}$$

but $B' \otimes_A R$, through $x \in X(R)$, gives $\frac{R[x_{11}, ..x_{22}]}{\pi x_{12}^2 - \pi x_{12}, x_{11} - 1, x_{21}, x_{22} - 1} \simeq \frac{R[x_{12}]}{\pi x_{12}^2 - \pi x_{12}}$, which is not R-flat and $B' \otimes_R k \simeq k[z_{12}]$ so the morphism $A \otimes_R k \to B' \otimes_R k$ factoring through k is not finite. So here we can Néron blow up $Spec(A)$ in $Spec(k)$ obtaining $X' = Spec(A') = Spec(\frac{R[t,x]}{\pi t - x})$ and, as suggested by the proof of Lemma 2.24 we replace, in B', y with πw (dividing by π where necessary) thus obtaining $Y'' = Spec(B'') = \frac{R[y,z_{12},w]}{z_{12}^2-z_{12}-w,\pi w-y}$ which is now a $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_R$-torsor over X' (easily seen to be the maximal model). So with this choice for the basis we have needed to Néron blow up X even if, as we have seen, this does not imply that Y cannot be extended over X. This last example also shows how the process of Néron blowing up allow us to find a smooth model for a torsor; the reader has certainly observed that over X it is not possible to find a smooth model.

References

[1] S. Anantharaman, *Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1.* Mémoires de la S. M. F., tome 33, 5-79 (1973).

[2] M.Antei, *Comparison between the fundamental group scheme of a relative scheme and that of its generic fiber*, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux, Tome 22, no 3 (2010), p. 537-555.

[3] M.Antei, *Extension of finite solvable torsors over a curve*, Manuscripta Mathematica, doi: 10.1007/s00229-012-0535-4.

[4] M.Antei, *On the abelian fundamental group scheme of a family of varieties*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, Volume 186 (2011), 427-446.

[5] M. Antei, *The fundamental group scheme of a non reduced scheme*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathmatiques, Volume 135, Issue 5, July-August 2011, Pages 531-539.

[6] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud *Néron models*, Springer Verlag, (1980).

[7] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques*, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, (1970).

[8] C. Gasbarri, *Heights of vector bundles and the fundamental group scheme of a curve*, Duke Math. J. 117, No.2, 287-311 (2003).

[9] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géomérie algébrique.* I. *Le langage des schémas.* Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS, 4, (1960).

[10] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géomérie algébrique.* IV. *Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas.* 2, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS, 24, (1965).

[11] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géomérie algébrique.* IV. *Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas.* 3, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS, 28, (1966).

[12] A. Grothendieck, *Revtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie, (1960-61).

[13] R. Hartshorne, *Stable Reflexive Sheaves*, Math. Ann. 254, 121-176 (1980)

[14] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM, Springer Verlag (1977)

[15] Q. Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Science Publications (2002)

[16] S. Maugeais, *Relèvement des revêtements p-cycliques des courbes rationnelles semistables*, Math. Ann. 327, No.2, 365-393 (2003).

[17] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton University Press, (1980).

[18] J. S. Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Perspectives in Mathematics, 1. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, (1986).

[19] M. V. Nori, *The fundamental group-scheme*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), Vol. 91, Number 2, (1982), p. 73-122.

[20] M. Raynaud, *Passage au quotient par une relation d'équivalence plate*, Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields, Springer-Verlag (1967), p. 78-85.

[21] M. Raynaud, *p-groupes et réduction semi-stable des courbes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol III, Progr. Math., vol. 88, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, (1990), p. 179-197.

[22] M. Raynaud, *Schémas en groupes de type* $(p, \ldots, p)$, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, 102 (1974), p. 241-280.

[23] M. Saïdi, *Torsors under finite and flat group schemes of rank p with Galois action*, Math. Zeit. 245, no. 4 (2003), p. 695-710.

[24] T. Sekiguchi, N. Suwa, *Some cases of extensions of group schemes over a discrete valuation ring I*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA, Math. 38 (1991), p. 1-45.

[25] D. Tossici *Effective Models and Extension of Torsors over a d.v.r. of Unequal Characteristic*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2008) Vol. 2008 : article ID rnn111, 68 pages (2008).

[26] W. C. Waterhouse, *Introduction to affine group schemes*, GTM, Springer-Verlag, (1979).

Marco Antei
Department of Mathematics
Ben Gurion University of the Negev
Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel
E-mail: `anteim@math.bgu.ac.il`
`marco.antei@gmail.com`